

02-07-2003 13:52

ARTZ & ARTZ P.C.

248 223 9522 P.01/16

ARTZ & ARTZ P.L.C.
Law Offices

Intellectual Property and Technology Related Causes

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

Fax: (248) 223-9522

Phone: (248) 223-9500

To: Examiner Jason Prone

Fax No.: (703) 872-9302

*#91 Region
Guthrie
Shaw
N.E.
2/14/03
W. Hart
09/751925*

FAX RECEIVED

FFR 07 2003

GROUP 3700

From: Thomas E. Donohue

Date: February 7, 2003

Our File No: VGT 0137 PA

Comments: Per our conversation, attached is the Response to Advisory Action with supporting documentation. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Total Pages (incl. Cover sheet): 16

The information contained in this facsimile message may be confidential and/or legally privileged information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any copying, dissemination or distribution of confidential or privileged information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone, and we will arrange for the return of the facsimile. Thank you.

02/18/2003 VHART1 00050001390400
(248) 223-9500.
01 FC:1251 110.00 CH

Karen Hopf
(Operator)

*Please
enter
J.P. 2-14-03*

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Bator et al.

Serial No.: 09/751,975

Group Art Unit: 3724

Filed: December 29, 2000

Examiner: Prone, Jason

For: APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR
SEPARATING CIRCUIT BOARDS

Attorney Docket No.: 199-1933 (VGT 0137 PA)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being faxed to Examiner Jason D. Prone at (703) 872-9302 on--

2/7/2003

(Date of Deposit)

Donna A. Hays

(Signature)

RESPONSE TO ADVISORY ACTIONAssistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

This paper is in response to the Advisory Action in the above-entitled application, mailed January 23, 2003, and a phone conversation with the Examiner regarding this Advisory action on or about February 3, 2003. The Applicant spoke with the Examiner regarding a previously filed Declaration of Prior Invention. The Examiner graciously explained to the Applicant what he would find suitable as evidence as required by 37 CFR 1.131(b) and said he would be happy to look at such a Declaration. The Examiner also requested that the Applicant should include with this amended Declaration the arguments as originally presented by the Applicant against the references combined with the reference sworn behind. The Applicant has removed the arguments (outside of the swear-behind declaration) regarding the Reijnders (6,464,121) reference for brevity reasons.

The Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for his help and patience in this matter.